

# Nicky Indicted With Six Others By Federal Jury

Alleged Master Minds in \$5,500,000 Wall St. Plot Charged With Conspiracy in Various Transactions

## Three Brokers Accused

Nick Cohen, Joseph Gluck and Randolph S. Newman, of New York, to Face Trial

From The Tribune Washington Bureau.  
WASHINGTON, Oct. 4.—Nicky Arnstein and six other alleged principals in the \$5,000,000 bond thefts from Wall Street brokers were indicted here today for conspiracy by the Federal grand jury. This action supplements the proceedings against them now pending in New York.

In addition to Arnstein, those indicted were Isadore (Nick) Cohen, Joseph Gluck and Randolph S. Newman, of New York, and David W. Sullivan, Wilen W. Easterday and Norman S. Bowles, former stock brokers of this city.

Joseph Gluck, one of the men indicted, with his brother, Irving, Gluck, and two other former runners for New York brokerage firms, were brought to the Tombs yesterday and taken under guard to testify before the grand jury. All four are said to have admitted stealing securities from their employers and are in custody awaiting sentence. Their testimony is said to have implicated Arnstein and the other accused persons. District Attorney John T. Dooley, of New York, also testified.

The specific offense which the men are alleged to have conspired to commit is given in the indictment as the concealing of stock certificates and other papers of value worth \$5,000,000, with intent to defraud the owners and to defeat the owners' title and estate in such papers. In furtherance of the alleged conspiracy, certain overt acts of one or more of the accused are set forth in the indictment.

The indictment alleges that October 13, 1919, in New York City, Jules Arnstein, otherwise called Nicky Arnstein, and Isadore Cohen, otherwise called Nick Cohen; Joseph Gluck and Randolph S. Newman, all of New York City, and Wilen W. Easterday, David W. Sullivan and Norman S. Bowles, all of the District of Columbia, did wilfully, concertedly and feloniously conspire, confederate and agree with one another and each with the other and others that they would and should commit an offense by concealing certain stock certificates representing a value of \$5,000,000.

It is alleged in the indictment that the accused conspired to bring these stock certificates into the District of Columbia, and that thereby they would defraud their owners and injure their right in the certificates.

## Fish 7 Inches Deep on Shore

SCITUATE, Mass., Oct. 4.—Residents of the South Shore caught large quantities of fish today without net, line or bait. Thousands of speckling and smelts were left by the tide on the beaches after immense schools had been driven ashore by larger fish. In many places the fish were seven inches deep. They were quite fresh and edible, and people came with wagons and automobiles to gather them.

## Weather Report

Sun rises... 5:55 a.m. Sun sets... 5:25 p.m. Moon rises... 1:20 a.m. Moon sets... 1:20 p.m.

Note.—The above figures are standard time and not New York State time.

Local Forecast.—Fair to-day and tomorrow; moderate to fresh northwest wind.

Local Official Record.—The following official record shows temperatures during the last twenty-four hours, in comparison with the corresponding date of last year:

	1920	1919
3 a.m. min.	62	54
6 a.m. min.	60	52
9 a.m. min.	61	55
12 noon min.	63	64
3 p.m. min.	65	66
6 p.m. min.	63	61
9 p.m. min.	61	59
12 noon max.	75	70
3 p.m. max.	77	72
6 p.m. max.	75	70
9 p.m. max.	73	68

Highest, 77 degrees (at 3 p.m.); lowest, 60 degrees (at 6 a.m.); average, 64 degrees; average same date last year, 62 degrees; average same date for thirty-three years, 60 degrees.

Humidity

3 a.m. min. 81; 1 p.m. min. 80; 8 p.m. min. 82

Barometer Readings

3 a.m. 30.10; 1 p.m. 30.06; 8 p.m. 29.94

## General Weather Conditions

WASHINGTON, Oct. 4.—Pressure was relatively low Monday over the North Atlantic states, the St. Lawrence Valley and the far northwest, and it was high over all other sections. Generally fair weather prevailed throughout the country, except there were light local rains in portions of the northeastern states and along the northern Pacific coast.

The temperature was somewhat higher in the Middle Atlantic and North Atlantic states and the northern Rocky Mountain region, and it was lower in the northern lake region. Temperature was near the normal Monday night in the Eastern and southern states and considerably above normal in the Plains states and the north.

The outlook is for generally fair weather in the states east of the Mississippi River Tuesday and Wednesday. The temperature will be somewhat lower Tuesday from the upper Ohio Valley and lower also region eastward to the Atlantic Coast. Elsewhere there will be little change in temperature.

Eastern Pennsylvania—Fair Tuesday and Wednesday; somewhat cooler Tuesday.

New Jersey and Delaware—Fair Tuesday; somewhat cooler by night, Wednesday fair.

Western Pennsylvania and Western New York—Fair Tuesday and Wednesday; cooler Tuesday.

Eastern New York and New England—Fair Tuesday and Wednesday.

## Register to-day!

Polls open from 5 p. m. to 10:30 p. m.

If you don't register you cannot vote!

# Brooklyn Alderman Again Faces Intoxication Charge

Whereas Police Won Last Set-To on Gorman's Confession to "Two Whiskies and as Many Near-Beers," He Says This Time He Was "Bone Dry"

Alderman Arthur V. Gorman, of Brooklyn, will be given an opportunity Thursday in the Jamaica court to disprove the second charge of intoxication that has been lodged against him by the police of Brooklyn within the last five months.

When the alleged bibulous Alderman was arrested the first time on this now unique charge, he lost the decision to Policeman John C. Logan, in the Fifth Avenue court, where Magistrate Geismar, after listening to much wet and dry testimony, decided that the Alderman's condition when arrested at Dean and Nevins streets, while emphasizing some raucous remarks with a drawn revolver, would easily come within the scope of the intoxication charge.

On that occasion, which, to be exact, was May 12, 1920, Alderman Gorman, who is young and handsome, swore that, notwithstanding what Policeman Logan charged him with, he was certain that he was charged with only two shots of hooch and a couple of important chasers of near-beer when arrested.

What Constitutes a Drunk? There was a scholarly debate as to whether a Brooklyn Alderman could achieve a thoroughly enthusiastic state of intoxication on two whiskies, followed by a couple of near-beers, and although an experienced Brooklyn bard swore that in the period B. P. any self-respecting city official could imbibe ten or twenty times that amount without trying to kick the soldiers off the Prospect Park arch, Judge Geismar found Mr. Gorman guilty and suspended sentence.

There were many disturbing sequels to the Alderman's conviction, among which were the preferring of charges of brutality against Policeman Logan and the transfer of a number of cops from the superheated realms of the Butler Street precinct. Captain W. W. Duggan was brought over from the East Fifty-first Street station in Manhattan and assigned to the arduous task of pouring oil on the troubled pavement of Butler Street.

Alderman Gorman's latest escapade.

## Huyler Stock Rose From Par to \$80,000 a Share

Increase in Last 39 Years Shown in Suit of Late Candy Man's Daughter

Stock of the corporation of Huyler's, valued at \$100 a share when the concern was incorporated in 1881 for \$15,000, has increased in value to \$80,000 a share, according to estimates made in an action which Mrs. Martha A. Gaines, daughter of the late David Huyler, brought in the Supreme Court.

Brooklyn, against the estate of John S. Huyler and Huyler Bros. Inc., involving fifty shares of the stock.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

## Bedtime Stories

Buster's Temper Grows Worse

By Thornton W. Burgess

Control your temper. If you do It never then can master you.

—Buster Bear.

Buster Bear knows. Losing control of his temper has made him no end of trouble. And it has made a lot of trouble for other people as well. A bad temper, out of control is almost sure to do this.

As Buster Bear, sore at heart because he had lost that honey, and sore of body from the bumps he had received from the stings of the Bees, hurried toward the pond of Paddy the Beaver he was fast working himself into a blind rage. He had lost control of his temper. Usually Buster is one of the best natured people in all the Green Forest, but when he has lost control of his temper he is one of the ugliest. It was well for Farmer Brown's boy that he wasn't where he would meet Buster Bear just then. Buster was too thoroughly angry to be afraid of anybody or anything.

Just before he reached the pond he came to a tree from one of the lower branches of which hung a great pear-shaped, gray globe. Buster knew what it was. Of course, it was the home of Bald-face the Hornet. At any other time Buster would have turned aside and passed it at a respectful distance. But Buster was in a bad temper, a very bad temper, and the sight of that gray paper home made him angrier than ever, especially as two or three Hornets came flying about his head in a threatening way. One of them stung him on his already sore nose.

Buster stood up and with one of his great paws smashed that gray paper house, all on account of that bad temper. Instantly a cloud of fighting-hornets surrounded him, and the stings of the Bees were as nothing to the stings he now received. With a roar Buster plunged ahead for that pond, and, as before, bumped into trees and stumbled over logs.

Fortunately that pond was not far away. When he reached it Buster didn't stop. He plunged right in, making the water fly in every direction. Out into deep water he plunged, and swam as fast as ever he could to get to the middle of Paddy's pond. He swam very low so that only the upper part of his head was above water, and over this he continually splashed water. Even then he received a few more stings before those angry Hornets gave up.

Now, every one of those Hornets was

most of them thought, "Buster Bear is a

very choice

the fine balance between heaviness and mildness explains in part its very choice aroma.

VERY CHOICE

VAN DYCK

CIGAR

THREE SELECT SIZES - We suggest BANKERS (wrapped 2 in foil)

2 for 30c—Box of 50—\$7.25

General Cigar Co. NATIONAL BRANDS NEW YORK CITY

which is well punctuated with the word "intoxication" and a number of other kindred phrases supposed to be extinct, had its beginning in the silent reaches of Palmetto Street, Ridgewood, in the small hours of Sunday morning.

According to the story Policeman Suezinsky, of the Glendale precinct, told Magistrate Doyle in the Jamaica Court yesterday, Alderman Gorman was trying to untangle an "illuminated" companion from the interior of an automobile when he happened along. When the limp and flabby form of the companion had been extracted from the car, Suezinsky said, Mr. Gorman ceremoniously laid it out face up in the doorway of a Palmetto Street apartment house.

Woman Prisoner Faints This brought a protest from the sympathetic cop, who had visions of the companion awakening with a cold kind in his back, to say nothing of a prospective case of pneumonia. The policeman's protest brought a torrent of abuse from the Alderman, the court was told, and it was this that caused him to make the arrest. At the Glendale police station, the everwrought Alderman is said to have threatened to have all hands transferred the first thing Monday morning and continued to raise such an unseemly rumpus that a sensitive woman prisoner, who had just registered for the night, threw up her hands and collapsed in a dead faint. At the station house disorderly conduct was added to the charge.

The customary quietude of the Glendale precinct was not completely restored until the reserves had been piped down out of the attic and had impressed the Alderman with the general advisability of talking in a voice that wouldn't disturb the slumbering inmates of Long Island City.

Alderman Gorman denied all the things that Policeman Suezinsky said about him and refused to admit that he had contained even one hooch and a near-beer chaser when arrested. Magistrate Doyle said he would put the case over until Thursday morning in order to give all hands a chance to cool off. Mr. Gorman was released to be furnished by Michael J. Hogan, a truckman, of 171 Warren Street.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice Cropsey held by a stock certificate showing that at the time of incorporation all the shares were issued to David Huyler.

Justice Cropsey decided against Mrs. Gaines yesterday, and by his decision she will lose the fifty shares which she values at \$4,000,000. The individual defendants in the action, Frank D. K. Huyler, David Huyler and Coulter D. Huyler, were defeated, however, in their endeavor to prove that John S. Huyler, the original proprietor of the business, was the original proprietor of the business. This contention was disproved. Justice